



Lewis Bay

BARNSTABLE & YARMOUTH

WATER THREAT LEVEL
MODERATE



Lewis Bay Watershed

Introduction to the Watershed Reports

In 2001, the Massachusetts Estuaries Project (MEP) was established to evaluate the health of 89 coastal embayment ecosystems across southeastern Massachusetts. A collaboration between coastal communities, the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP), the School of Marine Science and Technology (SMAST) at the University of Massachusetts-Dartmouth, the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA), the United States Geological Survey (USGS), the Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA), and the Cape Cod Commission, the purpose of the MEP is to identify nitrogen thresholds and necessary nutrient reductions to support healthy ecosystems.

The Cape Cod 208 Plan Update, certified and approved by the Governor of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and the US EPA in 2015, provides an opportunity and a path forward to implement responsible plans for the restoration of the waters that define Cape Cod.

On Cape Cod there are 53 embayment watersheds with physical characteristics that make them susceptible to nitrogen impacts. In its 2003 report, “The Massachusetts Estuaries Project – Embayment Restoration and Guidance for Implementation Strategies”, MassDEP identifies the 46 Cape Cod embayments included in the

MEP. Thirty-three embayments studied to date require nitrogen reduction to achieve healthy ecosystem function. A Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) has been established (or a draft load has been identified and is under review) for these watersheds. For those embayments not studied, the 208 Plan Update recommends planning for a 25% reduction in nitrogen, as a placeholder, until information becomes available.

The 208 Plan Update directs Waste Treatment Management Agencies (WMAs) to develop watershed reports within 12 months of certification of the Plan Update. The Watershed Reports outline potential “bookend” scenarios for each watershed that include two scenarios to meet water quality goals in the watershed – a traditional scenario, which relies completely on the typical collection and centralized treatment of wastewater, and a non-traditional scenario, which uses remediation, restoration, and on-site reduction techniques to remove nutrients from raw and treated wastewater, groundwater and affected waterbodies.

The intent of the Watershed Reports is to outline two distinct approaches for addressing the nutrient problem. The reports are not intended to identify preferred and detailed plans for each watershed, but to facilitate discussions regarding effective and efficient solutions, particularly in watersheds shared by more than one town. In some cases, towns have provided information on collection areas and non-traditional technologies that have been specifically considered by that town.

The 208 Update developed a regionally consistent database of the nitrogen load entering each watershed. This data set includes estimates of wastewater, stormwater and fertilizer loads - similar to methodologies used by the MEP. Using this regionally consistent database, the Watershed MVP tool (wMVP) was developed so that different strategies (i.e., bookend scenarios) to reduce excess nitrogen load

could be evaluated. The Watershed Reports use the MEP recommendations for the required nitrogen load reductions necessary to meet the threshold loads (that serve as the basis for nitrogen management), and then use the wMVP and the regionally consistent database values to develop bookend scenarios. There are variations of load between the MEP and wMVP, primarily due to differences in comparing older and newer databases.

Terms Defined

Total nitrogen load: the nitrogen load from the watershed contributed by septic, wastewater, fertilizer, stormwater, golf course, landfill, and natural sources.

Attenuated nitrogen load: the nitrogen load from the watershed that reaches the embayment after the effect of natural attenuation in wetlands, ponds or streams.

Threshold: the amount of nitrogen that a water body can receive from its watershed and still meet water quality goals; this number is based on MEP technical reports or Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) reports.

Reduction target: an approximation of the amount of nitrogen that needs to be removed from the watershed to achieve the threshold; this number is calculated by subtracting the threshold number from the attenuated total watershed load, and is for planning purposes only.

Percent contribution: the percent of attenuated nitrogen load that a town contributes to the watershed.

Kilogram responsibility: is calculated by applying the percent contribution to the reduction target and indicates the amount of nitrogen, in kg, that a community is responsible for addressing.

Total Maximum Daily Load: a regulatory term in the Clean Water Act, describing a value of the maximum amount of a pollutant that a body of water can receive while still meeting water quality standards. Establishing a TMDL is necessary when a water body has been listed on the 303D list of impaired waters.

Lewis Bay

BARNSTABLE & YARMOUTH



The Lewis Bay estuary and embayment system shoreline is located in the Towns of Barnstable and Yarmouth. It is comprised of several primary segments that include Hyannis Inner Harbor, Mill Creek, Snows Creek and Stewarts Creek. Lewis Bay is guarded by Great Island which contains Uncle Roberts Cove and Pine Island Creek. The Bay supports commercial fishing and a variety of recreational uses including boating, swimming, shell fishing and fin fishing.

The Problem

The Massachusetts Estuaries Project (MEP) technical report (available at <http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/water/watersheds/the-massachusetts-estuaries-project-and-reports.html>) indicates that the Lewis Bay system exceeds its critical threshold for nitrogen, resulting in impaired water quality. A MEP technical report has been completed and a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for nitrogen has been developed and approved. The MEP technical report indicates that the cause of eutrophication to the system is excess nitrogen from the contributing watershed.

MEP TECHNICAL REPORT STATUS: Final

TMDL STATUS: Final TMDL issued March 3, 2015

www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/water/resources/a-thru-m/lewisbay.pdf

Watershed nitrogen load characteristics were published in the 2008 MEP report for Lewis Bay, reflecting current conditions at the time of writing:

TOTAL ATTENUATED NITROGEN LOAD: 48,877 Kg/Y
(MEP Chapter VIII)

SOURCES OF ATTENUATED WATERSHED

NITROGEN LOAD:

- 63% Septic Systems
- 6% Fertilizer
- 9% Stormwater from Impervious Surfaces
- 22% Wastewater Treatment Facilities

Since the MEP report, the Commission compiled the following updated water use and nitrogen loads using the regional wMVP database (see page 2), enabling a more current estimate of nitrogen loading. Towns have also submitted watershed characteristics that may differ from wMVP. Differences may be explained by different source years of water use data, or other assumptions. Both values are presented, when provided:

REGIONAL DATABASE (WMVP)

See figure on page 1 for watershed boundary delineation

- Total Wastewater Flow: 1.1 BGY (billion gallons per year)
- Treated Wastewater Flow: 657 MGY
- Septic Flow: 475 MGY
- Total Attenuated Nitrogen Load: 55,338 Kg/Y

TOWN OF YARMOUTH/CDM REPORTED VALUE

- Treated Wastewater Flow: 632 MGY

CONTRIBUTING TOWNS

Percent contributions listed below are the aggregate sub-embayment contributions identified in Appendix 8C of the

WATERSHED REPORT: Lewis Bay

Barnstable & Yarmouth

Cape Cod Section 208 Plan Update (contributions are based on attenuated load where available). See Appendix 8C for detailed town allocations by sub-embayment.

- BARNSTABLE: 66%
- YARMOUTH: 34%

THE MEP RESTORATION SCENARIO:

- WATERSHED TOTAL NITROGEN REDUCTION
TARGET: 27%
- WATERSHED SEPTIC REDUCTION TARGET: 39%
(The scenario represents the aggregated sub-embayment percent removal targets from the MEP technical report)

LEWIS BAY ESTUARY

- EMBAYMENT AREA: 1,737 acres
- EMBAYMENT VOLUME: 452 million cubic feet
- 2014 INTEGRATED LIST STATUS:
 - Snows Creek: Category 4a for fecal coliform
 - Lewis Bay: Category 4a, 5 for fecal coliform and estuarine bioassessments
 - Mill Creek: Category 5 for fecal coliform and nitrogen
 - Category 4a - TMDL is completed; Category 5 - Waters requiring a TMDL
 - www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/water/resources/07v5/14list2.pdf

LEWIS BAY WATERSHED

General watershed characteristics according to the current wMVP regional database (see figure on page 1 for watershed boundary) follow. Towns have also submitted watershed characteristics that may differ from wMVP. Differences may be explained by changes due to development in the intervening

years, or other assumptions. Both values are presented, when provided:

■ WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

Regional Database (wMVP):

- Acres: 8,704
- Parcels: 9,531
- Residential Parcels: 77%
- Parcel Density: 0.91 acres per parcel (approx.)

Town of Yarmouth/CDM Reported Values:

- Acres: 8,759
- Parcels: 8,805
- Residential Parcels: 84%
- Parcel Density: 0.99 acres per parcel (approx.)

Freshwater Sources

PONDS

- IDENTIFIED SURFACE WATERS: 71
- NUMBER OF NAMED FRESHWATER PONDS: 8
- PONDS WITH PRELIMINARY TROPHIC CHARACTERIZATION: 6
- 2014 INTEGRATED LIST STATUS: None listed

Barnstable and Yarmouth have participated in the Pond and Lake Stewardship (PALS) program that has helped establish baseline water quality. Barnstable completed a Pond Action Report as part of its 2012 Draft Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan (CWMP).

STREAMS

- SIGNIFICANT FRESHWATER STREAM OUTLETS: 6

Halls Creek:

- Average Flow: 1,185 cubic meters per day (m³/d)
- Average Nitrate Concentrations: 0.85 milligrams per liter (mg/L)

Stewarts Creek:

- Average Flow: 31,966 m³/d
- Average Nitrate Concentrations: 1.17 mg/L

Snow's Creek:

- Average Flow: 5,298 m³/d
- Average Nitrate Concentrations: 1.14 mg/L

Hospital Bog:

- Average Flow: 1,318 m³/d
- Average Nitrate Concentrations: 0.64 mg/L

Mill Pond:

- Average Flow: 15,655 m³/d
- Average Nitrate Concentrations: 0.61 mg/L

Chase Brook:

- Average Flow: 3,255 m³/d
- Average Nitrate Concentrations: 0.45 mg/L

Stream data from MEP technical report. Nitrate concentrations higher than 0.05 mg/L background concentrations, evident in public supply wells located in pristine areas, provide evidence of the impact of non-point source pollution on the aquifer and receiving coastal water bodies.

DRINKING WATER SOURCES

Town submitted watershed reports (by Yarmouth) included watershed characteristics that slightly differ from the regional database, both values are reported below.

■ WATER DISTRICTS: 2

- Hyannis Water Division
- Yarmouth Water Department

■ GRAVEL PACKED WELLS:

Regional Database Gravel Packed Wells: 22

- 12 have nitrate concentrations between 0 and 0.5 mg/L
- 4 have nitrate concentrations between 0.5 and 1 mg/L
- 3 have nitrate concentrations between 2.5 and 5 mg/L
- 3 have no nitrate concentration data


Town Reported Gravel Packed Wells: 14

- 11 have average nitrate concentrations between 0 and 1 mg/L
- 3 have no nitrate concentration data

■ SMALL VOLUME WELLS: 0

Each of the Towns has acquired significant portions of land in their Zone IIs for water quality protection which together with adopted land use controls recommended from the 1978 Section 208 Plan has resulted in excellent water quality. The wells with high nitrate concentrations are coincident with high density development and indicative of septic and wastewater impacts to groundwater quality.


Drinking water data from Cape Cod Commission and MassDEP data sources – nitrate values obtained from drinking water



wells are from 2009-2012. The state and federal drinking water limit for nitrate is 10 mg/L. The Cape Cod Commission nitrate loading standard is 5 mg/L.

Degree of Impairment and Areas of Need

For the purposes of the Section 208 Plan Update areas of need are primarily defined by the amount of nitrogen reduction required as defined by the TMDL and/or MEP technical report. The aggregated watershed removal rates are 27% and 39% for total attenuated watershed and septic attenuated nitrogen loads, respectively. More specifically, the targeted amount of nitrogen reduction required by subwatershed ranges from 68% to 80% removal as indicated in the figures, Subwatersheds with Subwatersheds with Total and Septic Attenuated Nitrogen Removal Targets.




WATERSHED REPORT: Lewis Bay

The Lewis Bay subwatershed in Yarmouth requires 80% septic nitrogen removal.

The nitrogen load from the watershed exceeds the threshold for Lewis Bay, resulting in impaired water quality. The upper headwaters of Lewis Bay are particularly impaired. The ecological health of a water body is determined from water quality, extent of eelgrass, assortment of benthic fauna, and dissolved oxygen and ranges from severe degradation, significantly impaired, moderately impaired, or healthy habitat conditions.

ECOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND WATER QUALITY

The MEP report provides the following characterization of the estuary's health:



Subwatersheds with Total Attenuated Watershed Removal Targets

(Left) Benthic and atmospheric loads directly on embayments are not included.

Subwatersheds with Septic Attenuated Nitrogen Removal Targets

(Right)

- **OVERALL ECOLOGIC CONDITION:** Healthy to Significantly Impaired
- **OUTER LEWIS BAY:** Significantly Impaired
- **INNER LEWIS BAY:** Significantly Impaired
- **UNCLE ROBERTS COVE:** Significantly Impaired
- **HYANNIS INNER HARBOR:** Moderately Impaired
- **MILL CREEK:** Moderately Impaired
- **HALLS CREEK:** Healthy
- **SENTINEL STATION:**
 - Total Nitrogen Concentration Threshold: 0.378 mg/L
 - Total Nitrogen Concentration Existing: 0.407 mg/L
(As reported at the MEP sentinel water-quality monitoring station)

This page intentionally left blank.

Traditional & Non-Traditional Scenarios

SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT

Through the 208 Stakeholder process, the Commission developed “bookend” scenarios – one looking at a possible solution using traditional collection and treatment, the other examining a possible suite of non-traditional technologies – to address the nitrogen management needs in each watershed. These bookend scenarios provide guidance for communities as they continue to discuss alternatives, priorities, and opportunities for identifying well-considered solutions that will address communities’ needs and interests.

REGIONAL DATA

In preparation for this effort, the Commission collected regionally consistent data for the purposes of watershed scenario development. Both parcel data and water use data was identified and collected for the entire region. While the scientific basis for planning is the thresholds identified in the MEP technical reports, each report uses data from different years, and in some cases the MEP data used are 10 or more years old. In addition, there are watersheds on Cape Cod without the benefit of an MEP report; therefore, similar data was not available for planning purposes.

The updated regional data set was used to estimate wastewater, stormwater and fertilizer loads, using the same methodologies as the MEP. This approach allows for a reevaluation of existing development, which may have changed

in the last 10 years. Parcel data included in the regional database is from 2010-2012 and water use data is from 2008-2011, depending on the water supplier and based on best available data. This approach allows for regionally consistent watershed scenario development.

WATERSHED SCENARIOS

The watershed scenarios that follow outline possibilities for the watershed. A series of non-traditional technologies that might be applicable are included, as well as the amount of residential load that would need to be collected if a traditional collection system and treatment facility was implemented. The pie charts show the load to be collected for treated effluent disposal both inside and outside the watershed.

Site specific analyses of collection areas may result in the need to collect wastewater from more or fewer parcels to meet the nitrogen reduction target. The scenarios presented are conceptual and are meant to inform discussions regarding effective and efficient solutions; they are not specific recommendations and should be viewed as resource information for additional and more detailed wastewater management planning.

In Lewis Bay, the Towns of Barnstable and Yarmouth have done additional and more detailed planning. Included in the last section of this report is a description of their efforts, along with details of plans developed to date.

TOTAL ATTENUATED WATERSHED NITROGEN LOAD VALUES (FROM WMVP)

Lewis Bay Nitrogen Sources	Total Attenuated Watershed Nitrogen Load (kg-N/yr)
Wastewater ¹	42,815
Fertilizer ²	3,389
Stormwater	8,029
Other ³	1,105
TOTAL WATERSHED LOAD	55,338
Total Watershed Threshold	35,705

TOTAL ATTENUATED LOAD TO BE REMOVED

19,633

1. Includes nitrogen loads from septic systems and wastewater treatment facilities. 2. Includes nitrogen loads from lawns, cranberry bogs, and golf courses. 3. Includes nitrogen loads from landfills and atmospheric deposition to vacant land.

The attenuated watershed loads, threshold, and both traditional and non-traditional scenarios presented in this report exclude Halls Creek because Halls Creek has its own

Traditional & Non-Traditional Scenarios

Non-Traditional


UNIT OF APPLIED TECHNOLOGY	ATTENUATED NITROGEN REMOVED IN KG/Y
25 % Nitrogen Reduction - Fertilizer Management	847
25 % Nitrogen Reduction - Stormwater Mitigation	2,007
1 Acres - Constructed Wetlands (Collection System)	500
PRB 15,200 Linear Feet - Permeable Reactive Barrier (PRB) (Capture load calculated by wMVP: 12,106.2 kg/Y)	8,777
38 Acres - Aquaculture/Oyster Beds	9,570
TOTAL	21,701

A summary of the approach and methodology that was applied using non-traditional technologies follows at the end of this report.


Traditional



CENTRALIZED TREATMENT WITH DISPOSAL INSIDE THE WATERSHED



CENTRALIZED TREATMENT WITH DISPOSAL OUTSIDE THE WATERSHED



█ Septic Load to be Removed
█ Remaining Septic Load

Assumes load to be collected and treated is disposed in the watershed, requiring additional collection to offset the load.

Assumes that the load to be collected and treated is removed from the watershed so no offset is required.

Town of Barnstable Local Progress

The Cape Cod Commission and the Town of Barnstable met and discussed the use of WatershedMVP to evaluate targeted watershed approaches for each of the watersheds in which they have jurisdiction. In 2015, the town reformulated its Citizen's Advisory Committee (CAC) for wastewater planning to better address local needs. In addition to local participation, the newly formed committee (the Water Resources Advisory Committee or WRAC) includes state and regional representatives. Town staff provided modifications to Commission-developed watershed scenarios and presented those scenarios to their WRAC for review and discussion. Those scenarios are included in this report.

Barnstable is also working closely with Mashpee and Sandwich on a watershed permit for the Popponesset Bay watershed.

The Town of Barnstable operates the Hyannis Water Pollution Control Facility (WPCF), located off Bearses Way in Hyannis, which is the primary wastewater treatment facility serving approximately 2,900 properties in Hyannis and Barnstable village. The treatment facility has been upgraded and permitted to treat additional flows up to a total of 4.2 million gallons per day (MGD), upon meeting requirements of an adaptive management plan approved by the Commission in 2007. Property along Route 132 was acquired by the town in 2002 to potentially accommodate future disposal needs. The site is approved under a 2006 Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act (MEPA) certificate to discharge up to 0.5 MGD. The

site is not presently in use. However, a force main and sewer has been extended to the site from the WPCF.

The WPCF treats an average daily flow of 1.46 MGD and a maximum monthly average flow of 1.94 MGD. Treatment performance has averaged 5 milligrams per liter (mg/L) total nitrogen in the treated effluent and the facility has a discharge limit of 5 mg/L under the 2007 Development of Regional Impact (DRI) decision and a limit of 10 mg/L under a Groundwater Discharge Permit (GWDP). The facility is also equipped with sludge thickening, storage and dewatering facilities sized for the current process conditions.

The Town of Barnstable also operates two smaller facilities – the Marstons Mills Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF) and the Red Lily Pond Cluster System. The Marstons Mills WWTF is limited to a discharge flow of 42,900 gallons per day (GPD) and is intended to service the Barnstable United Elementary School and the Village at Marstons Mills affordable housing development. The Red Lily Pond Cluster System currently serves 17 homes. According to the comprehensive wastewater management plan (CWMP) approved in 2007, no performance sampling of the system occurs and the system is assumed to produce comparable effluent to any conventional single family septic system.

In addition to municipally-owned facilities, there are two privately-owned treatment facilities treating wastewater from the Cotuit Landing shopping plaza and the Cape Regency

nursing and rehabilitation facility. These facilities provide high levels of wastewater treatment. The treatment facility at Cotuit Landing was designed with additional treatment capacity beyond the expected needs of the shopping plaza for potential treatment of flows from neighboring properties.

Barnstable is working on a town-wide nutrient management plan that will provide the basis of its CWMP. The plan will address nitrogen and other needs in watersheds draining to Three Bays, Centerville River, and Lewis Bay. A nitrogen total maximum daily load (TMDL) for Barnstable Harbor has not been approved by US EPA. The MEPA certificate scope for the Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) includes engagement in a targeted watershed approach, consistent with the 208 Plan Update.

In the fall of 2014, Barnstable adopted local nitrogen-oriented fertilizer management regulations consistent with the Cape-wide Fertilizer Management District of Critical Planning Concern (DCPC).

Since the approval of the 208 Plan Update, the Commission has been working for several months with Barnstable and Yarmouth to develop a targeted watershed scope of work for the Lewis Bay watershed to address excess nitrogen entering the system. In addition, the town was a recipient of a technical assistance grant through the Southeast New England Coastal Watershed Restoration Program (SNEP) and a stormwater best

Town of Barnstable Watershed Scenario Details

NAME OF TECHNOLOGY	CREDITS		REDUCTION TECHNOLOGIES			REMEDIATION AND RESTORATION TECHNOLOGIES			REMOVAL Total Scenario Load Reduction (kg-N/yr)	
	% Nitrogen Reduction	Load Reduction (kg-N/yr)	# Properties / Units	Flow Collected (gpd)	Load Reduction (kg-N/yr)	# Units Proposed	Unit Metric	Load Reduction (kg-N/yr)		
Scenario										2,804
Centralized Sewer			303	82,823	2,804					

management practice (BMP) was constructed in the Lewis Bay watershed in the spring of 2015.

In 2015, the Town submitted a Statement of Interest to the US EPA for a hydrogeologic site characterization as an initial step toward piloting a permeable reactive barrier in the town. One of three sites proposed by the Town was selected for characterization. The work was completed in 2016. The draft report is presently being reviewed by the Town.

In June 2016, Barnstable received \$28,850 from the Commission to fund upgrades to three stormwater treatment BMPs. Funding was part of \$142,149 in local grants made available to communities by the Commission in support of 208 Plan implementation.

Town of Yarmouth Local Progress

In 2010, the Town of Yarmouth submitted its Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan (CWMP) as a Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR). The draft CWMP targeted areas that would require wastewater collection to restore water quality in the Lewis Bay and Parkers River watersheds and deal with the Title 5 constraints on economic redevelopment in the area of Route 28. The town's plan included approximately 125 miles of sewer lines and the collection of 2.75 million gallons per day (MGD) of wastewater to be treated at a single facility in the Parkers River watershed. The project would ultimately serve 9,580 properties by 2035. Phase 1 of the plan would begin with the treatment facility and main trunk line sewer to serve Route 28 and portions of the Parkers River and Lewis Bay watershed.

The plan relies on gravity, pressure, and vacuum sewers. The MEP nitrogen reduction goals were the primary factor in choosing sewer locations. The phasing of these sewered areas also takes the town's economic goals into consideration.

The town submitted its Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) and received Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act (MEPA) approval in July 2011, but did not complete the Cape Cod Commission Development of Regional Impact (DRI) process before going to September 2011 Town Meeting to seek Phase 1 design and construction funds. Phases 1 through 5 were scheduled to be implemented over a 25-year period. The estimated cost of the total plan was \$275 million. The first phase had an estimated cost of \$55 million. Town Meeting did

not approve the expenditure. The town withdrew the CWMP from the DRI review process.

Wastewater planning in the community had effectively come to a stop prior to the development of the 208 Plan Update.

In January 2016 town staff met with the Board of Selectmen to discuss a new financing plan for implementation of a program that would meet water quality standards in all of their watersheds.

The recommended plan includes a combination of traditional sewerage methods with centralized treatment facilities as well as non-traditional nitrogen management options including a permeable reactive barrier (PRB) at the Buck Island Road effluent recharge site. The Town of Yarmouth is proposing a phased wastewater program that includes a collection system, a conveyance system and a centralized treatment facility, each constructed over several years. In addition to the proposed sewerage, the recommended plan involves public outreach to promote nitrogen reduction and to prevent sewer system inflow, zoning modifications for growth management and establishment of the activity centers, development of sewer ordinances, and continued maintenance of Title 5 and I/A systems in the northern and western areas of the town that will not be served by the proposed wastewater collection system. The town also plans to implement stormwater and fertilizer improvement programs. As suggested in the 208 Plan Update, up to a 25% nitrogen reduction credit can be obtained by towns that implement stormwater and fertilizer management

programs to reduce nitrogen contributions to each watershed. To achieve a 25% nitrogen reduction from stormwater in the Lewis Bay watershed, 50% of the total roads in the watershed are assumed to require stormwater best management practices (BMPs). Yarmouth plans to achieve 25% nitrogen reduction in fertilizers by implementing a town-wide fertilizer education program.

During the spring 2016 town meeting, the town approved \$200,000 for additional CWMP planning.

In April 2016, Yarmouth submitted a request for assistance to continue CWMP development and town staff met with the Commission to discuss the request in early May.

In June 2016, Yarmouth received \$35,000 from the Commission for the Towns of Dennis, Harwich and Yarmouth for a regional treatment facility cost study. Funding was part of \$142,149 in local grants made by the Commission in support of 208 Plan implementation.

At the Spring 2017 Town Meeting appropriated \$200,000 for wastewater planning and engineering services including engineering studies and evaluation of recharge sites; updating, modification, and pre-implementation services for the Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan and support for related filings with the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act office and the Cape Cod Commission.

Town of Yarmouth Watershed Scenario Details

NAME OF TECHNOLOGY	CREDITS		REDUCTION TECHNOLOGIES			REMEDIATION AND RESTORATION TECHNOLOGIES			REMOVAL Total Scenario Load Reduction (kg-N/yr)
	% Nitrogen Reduction	Load Reduction (kg-N/yr)	# Properties / Units	Flow Collected (gpd)	Load Reduction (kg-N/yr)	# Units Proposed	Unit Metric	Load Reduction (kg-N/yr)	
Traditional Scenario									13,800**
Fertilizer Management	25%	Not reported**							
Stormwater Mitigation	25%	Not reported**							
Centralized Sewer			2,209	582,376	13,800				

NOTES:

* Average daily flow collected includes buildout and estimated inflow and infiltration.


** This total value does not include fertilizer management and stormwater management credits which were not calculated as part of the recommended program. Values for both are shown in the Cape Cod Commission's Non-Traditional summary on pg. 9; however, these values are for all of Bass River Watershed. These credits will be built into the updated recommended plan for Yarmouth when the Notice of Project Change (NPC) is submitted for the Final CWMP.

Scenario Maps

Lewis Bay Watershed Scenario
BARNSTABLE & YARMOUTH
Representative locations of conceptually proposed infrastructure

Legend

- Town Lines
- Sewered Areas
- Embayment Watersheds
- Proposed Sewersheds



September 2016 Watershed Scenario Map

Methodology for Selecting Non-Traditional Technology Scenarios

■ **FERTIGATION WELLS:** Golf courses were mapped to identify areas where fertigation wells could be utilized to recapture nitrogen-enriched groundwater and re-apply it to the managed turf areas to serve both irrigation and fertilization needs. Most golf courses were assumed to be eighteen holes with a fertilized area of 75 acres. Fertigation water was assumed to have an average concentration of 5 mg/liter. An uptake/attenuation rate of 80% was applied resulting in an assumed nitrogen reduction of 300 kg/year for each golf course with effectively located fertigation wells. In some cases other irrigated areas (such as athletic fields and cemeteries) were identified as potential fertigation locations. A nitrogen removal rate of 4 kg/Y/acre was used.

The MVP tool and other site-specific tools were utilized to quantify nitrogen load reductions for several potential NT interventions.

■ **PERMEABLE REACTIVE BARRIERS:** for each PRB that was identified during the prior GIS-screening process an approximate capture area was identified using available water table maps and the wMVP tool. Upgradient contributing areas were digitized within wMVP and the nitrogen load was calculated. A nitrogen reduction of 72.5% was applied (calculated as an average of the reported attenuation range from the Technologies Matrix).

■ **CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS (WITH COLLECTION):**

Constructed wetlands were considered as a tertiary, polishing treatment for existing wastewater treatment plants. This included small-scale wastewater treatment systems. A nitrogen removal rate of 500 kg/Y/acre was used.

■ **AQUACULTURE/OYSTER REEFS:** Potential areas for aquaculture and/or oyster reef restoration were considered based upon discussions with town representatives and review of maps to identify potential areas for these operations without significant conflicts to navigation. In some cases actual recent aquaculture expansions were included where they were developed after the MEP reports were prepared. An assumption of 1 million oysters per acre was used with a nitrogen removal rate of 250 kg/Y/acres.

■ **FLOATING CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS:** Potential areas for floating wetlands were considered in areas where no conflicts with navigation or swimming areas were identified. A nitrogen removal rate of 0.4 kg/Y/sq foot was used.

■ **INLET WIDENING AND COASTAL HABITAT RESTORATION:**

Only considered in areas where these projects were identified by towns or state agencies and where detailed hydrologic investigations and modeling had been performed due to wide variations in nitrate load reduction, flushing impacts, impacts on flooding, and costs (dredging only, replacing infrastructure,

removing and replacing roadways or bridges, etc.).

Nitrogen removal rates were based on MEP or other studies.

■ **INNOVATIVE & ALTERNATIVE SEPTIC SYSTEMS AND ECOTOILETS:**

In most cases specific locations for these technologies were not identified. Rather general estimates for the percent adoption were provided based upon discussions with the stakeholder groups and their views on potential adoption rates. In some watersheds a 5% adoption rate was included based upon this stakeholder input. In a limited number of instances specific locations for these technologies were included based upon town input and suggestions. A nitrogen removal rate of 1.658 kg/Y for each system was used for I&A Septic Systems, and 2.984 kg/Y for enhanced I&A systems. A removal rate of 2.542 kg/Y was used for each home installation of an Ecotoilet, and 0.467 kg/Y for installation of urine diversion toilets in public settings.

Finally, the locations of specific technologies were discussed during the 208 stakeholder engagement process. Stakeholders across the Cape ‘groundtruthed’ potential NT locations and NT scenarios were adjusted accordingly.

Methodology for Selecting Non-Traditional Technology Scenarios

This section summarizes the approach and methodology that was applied during the 208 Update to develop plans for reducing nitrogen loading to estuaries using non-traditional (NT) technologies. It includes descriptions of regional credits for stormwater and fertilizer reductions, regional screening for potential sites for several technologies, and site-specific analyses for others. Nitrogen attenuation rates for each technology were derived from the Technologies Matrix. The nitrogen thresholds for each embayment were determined from the Massachusetts Estuaries Project (MEP).

This section summarizes the approach and methodology that was applied during the 208 Update to develop plans for reducing nitrogen loading to estuaries using non-traditional (NT) technologies. It includes descriptions of regional credits for stormwater and fertilizer reductions, regional screening for potential sites for several technologies, and site-specific analyses for others. Nitrogen attenuation rates for each technology are noted below, based on the Technologies Matrix or newer data. The nitrogen thresholds for each embayment were determined from the Massachusetts Estuaries Project (MEP).

Regional credits were developed for potential stormwater retrofits and fertilizer reductions. They were calculated as a percent reduction of existing nitrogen loads as identified in the MEP reports and updated GIS data developed by the Cape Cod Commission.

■ STORMWATER MANAGEMENT: Most Cape communities have already begun the process of identifying significant untreated stormwater discharges and developing appropriate mitigation projects. With the prospect of the MS4 regulatory requirements it was assumed that additional mitigation efforts would be implemented. Based upon the evidence developed by the University of New Hampshire Stormwater Center that several vegetated stormwater management practices (including bioretention and constructed wetlands) are able to achieve nitrogen reductions of 50% or more and the assumption that only a portion (estimated at 50%) of identified sites would be retrofitted a 25% nitrogen reduction credit was assumed for each watershed. Specific locations and number of locations were not identified; this was deferred to individual towns to consider as part of the suite of nitrogen management strategies.

■ FERTILIZER REDUCTIONS: Based upon the success of most Cape Cod towns to implement either regulatory or non-regulatory fertilizer management programs and the efforts of the Cape Cod Extension Service in

educating homeowners a 25% reduction in fertilizer applications was assumed for each watershed.

Regional GIS screening methods were developed to identify locations for some non-traditional technologies. A GIS viewer was developed as an on-line tool for staff and consultants to utilize during the watershed planning process.

■ CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS/

PHYTOREMEDIATION: A GIS-based screening method was developed by the Cape Cod Commission to identify and rank parcels of land that have potential for the location of constructed wetlands and phytoremediation. The ranking utilized parcel size and ownership, depth to groundwater, suitable soils, distance from wetlands, and undeveloped parcels. A nitrogen removal rate of 500 kg/Y/acre and 532 kg/Y/acre was used for Constructed Wetlands and Phytoremediation, respectively.

■ PERMEABLE REACTIVE BARRIERS (PRBS): A GIS-based screening method was developed to identify existing roads that are proximate to receiving waters, downgradient of high density development, run perpendicular to groundwater flow (to have the highest potential to intercept nutrients in groundwater), and where the depth to groundwater is relatively shallow to maximize the area of saturated thickness treated in the aquifer.